

WHAT ALL NEWLY- ELECTED LOCAL OFFICIALS NEED TO KNOW

WHAT ALL ELECTED LOCAL OFFICIALS NEED TO KNOW

Prepared by

LEAGUE OF ARIZONA CITIES AND TOWNS

1820 West Washington Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 258-5786

www.azleague.org

July 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I. LOCAL GOVERNMENT PRIMER	1
A Philosophy of Government	1
Your City or Town Today.....	2
Policy vs. Administration.....	2
Forewarned is Forearmed	3
Teamwork is Essential	4
If You Don't Know, Don't Act.....	5
Your Words are News.....	6
Your Time is Your Stock in Trade	7
Avoiding the Appearance of Impropriety	8
Conclusion	8
CHAPTER II. YOU AS AN ELECTED PUBLIC OFFICER.....	9
Qualifications for Office.....	9
Term of Office	9
Oath of Office	10
Official Bond	10
Conflict of Interest	10
Incompatibility of Offices.....	11
Financial Disclosure.....	12
Nepotism	12
Municipal and Personal Liability.....	13
Duties of the Mayor	14
Compensation	15
Recall	15
Vacancies in Office.....	16
CHAPTER III. THE JOB OF THE COUNCIL	18
Council Meetings	18
Open Meeting Law	22
The Council's Law Making Powers	23
Powers of Appointment and Removal	25
Election Powers and Duties	25
Joint Exercise of Powers.....	26
Boards/Commissions and Committees	26
Conclusion	27

CHAPTER IV. WHAT IS A CITY OR TOWN?	28
Legal Standing of Municipal Governments	28
City/Town Organization	30
General Law vs. Charter Government	31
Charter Government.....	31
Local Options for General Law Cities and Towns	33
Courts	35
Municipal Annexation of Property	35
Financing Local Government	36
The Budget.....	38
Municipal Indebtedness	39
Audits.....	41
Public Employees.....	41
Planning for the Future	42
CHAPTER V. YOU AND THE OUTSIDE WORLD	44
Introduction.....	44
Regional Councils of Governments	44
What Does a COG Do?.....	45
County Government.....	46
State Government.....	47
Federal Government.....	48
Conclusion	48

FOREWORD

Congratulations on your election to office! Your decision to serve your community as a mayor or councilmember gives you a crucial role in determining the present and future course of your community. Your election also designates you as a public officer with legal restraints as well as powers and responsibilities.

This handbook has been prepared to provide an overview of the major functions of your job as a locally elected official. If you've just been elected, the information contained within these covers may serve as a crash course in what your office is and how local government works.

This 2018 edition is a revision of the eight previous editions of the handbook. The information in this edition reflects changes in state law since publication of the last edition.

We hope this handbook will provide you with helpful information in performing your duties as a mayor or councilmember. Any comments or suggestions for this publication will be greatly appreciated.

CHAPTER I

LOCAL GOVERNMENT PRIMER

The campaign is over and you emerge victorious. Now that you've been elected, what are some of the things you need to know to be successful as a local elected official in Arizona? This document will outline the key functions of your role as a mayor or councilmember.

Since you've been elected, you may have already come to the conclusion that becoming a good policy official is largely dependent on remaining in office long enough to master the responsibilities and duties of your job. For the newly elected mayor or councilmember, survival is indeed an issue. Therefore, the purpose of this chapter is to discuss the basics of political survival for a locally elected official and how you may avoid some of the common pitfalls of local office.

A PHILOSOPHY OF GOVERNMENT

At the outset of your term of office, you should define for yourself the framework in which you are to operate. It is essential to identify your own philosophy of government to serve as a base for determining your future course of action. It is vital to have a basic guide or set of principles of personal and public beliefs about the nature and function of government at all levels. Unless you take time to develop a framework of principles, you may find that your decisions on detailed proposals will be haphazard.

The philosophy of government that you develop need not be detailed to the point that it would only be useful to a political scientist; but rather, a series of general statements that you can apply to specific situations and draw logical conclusions. Perhaps the best way to develop a working philosophy is to ask yourself, "What kind of government do I want to see for my community?" Once you've established a baseline of what you believe government should or should not be doing, you can begin to determine what role your municipal government should play within this broad context and what would best serve the public good. Many councilmembers feel the time they invested in sorting out a philosophy of government has been recovered several times over by facilitating the arduous job of making specific decisions that frame the policy of the community they serve.

The philosophy should not be etched in stone. After some time in office, you may find it necessary to redefine your philosophy of government.

In summary, your philosophy of government should be specific enough for you to be committed and consistent, while being general enough to allow you the flexibility needed to consider all alternative courses of action.

YOUR CITY OR TOWN TODAY

The next step is to learn more about the structure and functions of your city or town. What kind of government do you have? What services are you now providing to the citizens of your community? A good starting point may be last year's budget; it indicates in money terms the priorities of your predecessors.

Once you have an understanding of the broad service areas of your city or town, you may find it useful to evaluate and examine those services. Should we provide these services and at what level? If possible, try to avoid being hyper-critical of current practices. Instead, look at services from a policy perspective. For example, if garbage pick-up is a municipal service, should we consider contracting out this service to a private firm? Are there service gaps that should be filled by the city or town?

In each individual community the questions will differ, but take the time to familiarize yourself with your city or town government before you begin making decisions. It will be time well spent.

POLICY VS. ADMINISTRATION

Once you've established a working philosophy of government and examined your present city or town government, you can then determine what it means to be a mayor or a councilmember. According to the philosophy of representative government, the Constitution and laws of this state, the primary reason for your existence as an elected official is to determine policy to guide and protect the orderly growth and development of your community.

On the road to policy determination, perhaps the greatest pitfall for the newly elected official is paying too much attention to administrative detail. It is important to remember that your position as mayor or councilmember is time consuming and is not how you earn your bread and butter. It will become increasingly important to juggle your priorities between your job as an elected official, your family and the duties and responsibilities of earning a living. Getting wrapped up in administrative detail is time consuming and only can be accomplished at the expense of one of your other priorities. Generally, it has been found that paying too much attention to detail will cause your policy making role to suffer. You will likely find yourself unable to spend a lot of time poring over the sketched plans of a housing development or checking the gauges at the sewer treatment plant, while still being able to accomplish your main goal, which is to determine whether the housing development or the treatment plant should be built in the first place.

To avoid giving excessive attention to administrative details, a good rule of thumb is to use your staff. In other words, remember that you have personnel available who are paid to implement your policy. These are generally career people, and their success in their chosen occupation depends on how well they administer the details of your policy decisions. Your administrative role is to instruct the career people as to your expectations and to evaluate their performance as they carry out council policy. Your staff will have a solid working knowledge of the problems and challenges facing your community - learn to rely upon these people.

By keeping in mind that your job is to determine the content of municipal policy and that the job of the staff is to implement that policy, you will have time to keep in touch with the public and to reflect the real concerns of your community in municipal legislation.

FOREWARNED IS FOREARMED

To effectively fulfill your responsibilities as an elected official, you must take time to do your homework. Learn to use the basic sources of information about municipal government.

It is important that you learn how to evaluate the advice of your staff. Appointed specialists such as the manager or clerk, attorney, engineer, police chief, public works director and others are the operating base of your community. A large part of their job is to provide the know-how that makes decision making possible. In this regard, the role of the manager or clerk is essential in consolidating the information from department heads and presenting it in a manner that will be useful to the council. However, when discussing an issue with your staff, remember that they are not elected officials, and you should not indirectly abdicate your policy making powers to them.

It is important to get good legal, engineering, accounting, law enforcement as well as general administrative and technical advice, but it is even more important to use this advice as objectively as possible in decision making. In this connection, gathering and interpreting technical data as well as fairly representing the public interest is your specialty and belongs to no one else. Read your council meeting packets and ask questions before the meeting. Be sure to seek staff comments and support their efforts whenever possible. City or town employees are a vital part of your team.

Remember above all that you were elected to decide how the city or town should be run. If the staff is making those decisions in your community, it is likely because you and the other members of the council have created a vacuum and have failed to provide the necessary policy direction to your employees.

In addition to your staff, find out what agencies are available to assist you with factual information and to what extent you can rely on their data. It is also important that you determine the position of those agencies assisting you so that you can screen out the bias transmitted with their information. One place to start learning basics of operating a municipal government is from your state municipal league. A prime role of a municipal association such as the League of Arizona Cities and Towns is to provide objective information to the cities and towns they represent. A municipal league provides you with a central point of contact for information you may need to perform your new job as a public official.

In summary, your decisions can only be as valid as the information you use in making your determinations. It is necessary to the successful operation of your role that every effort is expended to gather and analyze available information. Often, dangerous or unworkable municipal policy could have been avoided had the council explored an issue more in depth before making a decision. In other words, it is much better to be forewarned of potential problems in municipal policy than to be placed in the embarrassing situation of having to publicly reverse an adopted policy.

TEAMWORK IS ESSENTIAL

One of the first things you will find after taking your seat on the council is that your friends and opponents in the community think you have more power as an individual than you actually do. In fact, many people on the council for the first time ran on a platform which, simply stated, said: "If you will only elect me, we'll see some real changes around this place."

Often the greatest disappointment for newly elected officials is finding out that the other members of the council don't see issues their way and that they can do little more than sit and watch campaign promises go by the wayside. One of the hardest thing for a newly elected official to learn is that it takes a majority of the council to make policy, and that at times, compromise is the only way to accomplish what is in the interest of the entire community.

Therefore, the first rule to remember if you wish to be an effective councilmember is that municipal government is a team job. Secondly, it must be remembered that the other councilmembers also represent certain interests and those interests have a right to be heard and considered, whether you like them or not. Keep in mind that the council chamber is a legitimate place for honest disagreement and dispute, but that it is no place to air personal animosities that can only serve to divide the council and stymie progress as policy solutions are lost by the wayside. In other words, have your say on each issue and ask questions of the other positions, but remember above all this is a team job and that a united front after the decision is necessary to carry your community forward and to perpetuate public trust in city hall.

The necessity of working as a team becomes more apparent as you begin to grasp the scope and complexity of the government you've been elected to serve. At first, it may appear that you've landed on the top of a gigantic tidal wave and that nothing you do can check its momentum. Municipal government today is big business. It is also a difficult business because it involves delivering public services that the private sector cannot, will not or does not want to provide. For example, operation of a police department has too much inherent liability to be considered a profitable enterprise by the private sector. Besides police protection, you may find yourself faced with problems of street construction and maintenance, parks and recreation development, operation and extension of a sewer or water system or treatment plant, provision of fire protection as well as comprehensive planning, zoning and capital improvements programming. You will also find that the people who elected you now think that you are an expert on all aspects of municipal business. It is extremely important to be realistic about the amount of information you will be able to absorb in your first few years in office regarding the operations of your city or town. No municipality, however small, is so simple that a person can master every phase of its functioning within his or her first year or so in office.

Once you have come to this conclusion, look to the other elected officials who are riding the same tidal wave and draw upon their knowledge where it is greater than yours and provide them with knowledge in your area of working expertise. Once you begin to do this, you will find that teamwork will evolve naturally as a necessary part of your role as a mayor or councilmember. As a rule, you will find that decisions made from the input of all councilmembers as well as your staff will be consistently better for the entire community than those decisions strong-armed through by one or two individuals.

Throughout your life and particularly in your business, you have probably met people who are either in favor of or against anything and everything. There may be such an individual on your council. Most typically, this is an individual who feels that he was elected to be against virtually all that you are trying to do. This kind of attitude will be detrimental to the effectiveness of your council, because predetermining a decision for or against an issue does not allow sufficient time for thoughtful consideration of the possible consequences. With this type of person on your council, you will find that you have lost a necessary source for decision making and what otherwise might have proven to be a valuable resource.

Therefore, it is part of your responsibility to the team to assist such council members in fulfilling his or her responsibilities to the community in a thoughtful manner. You must make every attempt to draw this person out by asking questions and advice on issues facing the council. Additionally, you must sometimes bend over backwards to make such a person feel a part of the team and a valuable if not essential part of the decision-making process. To do this you must not only take the time to tell the person why you don't agree on an issue, but you must discuss why you agree on other issues. Equally, you must convince the person that while personalities undoubtedly have an impact, they should not be allowed to substantially interfere with the business of running your city or town. Remember, above all, that for a council to operate effectively, every councilmember has not only the right but the obligation to speak out on issues no matter what you think of his or her opinion.

To work together as a team, it is absolutely essential that your dealings with the other councilmembers be open and honest. In discussing an issue you must present your opinion and philosophy as clearly as possible and back your position with facts whenever possible. You must also be willing, although sometimes it will take a great deal of effort, to listen to the other person's point of view. If you practice this rule, you will find that the degree to which you are honest in your personal transactions with other councilmembers is quite often the degree to which you are effective in impacting council decisions.

On issues that are very close to you personally, there are often internal pressures to coerce rather than influence or to misrepresent your case in an attempt to secure passage of an ordinance. When you find yourself confronted with this pressure, it is essential that you suppress it or you may find it will be the last time you will have a meaningful role in the decision making process. It is not necessary that you be liked by the other councilmembers or that you agree with them, but it is absolutely vital that they learn to respect you and rely on your honesty.

IF YOU DON'T KNOW, DON'T ACT

At times you will find yourself under pressure from your constituents or staff and other councilmembers to pass legislation or act on a policy matter that you don't completely understand. You will find this particularly true in your first year of office when many things coming before the council seem to be drafted in a foreign language. Do not allow the pressures of time and a heavy workload force you to rubber stamp a legislative or policy matter. Do not succumb to pressures by the other members of the council and citizens that a particular solution is sufficient; request a delay until they can give you a brief but clear explanation of the issue. Obviously, this will slow things up at first and frustrate your colleagues to some extent, but it is

essential to your learning process for you to avoid endorsing things that you might later regret. There are very few matters that must be passed immediately or that cannot wait a week or two while you have the opportunity to better understand the situation.

By asking these two questions on local legislation under consideration, you can avoid adopting an unworkable policy or binding your community beyond its financial capacity. In connection with this, you will probably find that what seems to be essential to the successful operation of your government may be too expensive for your budget. In other words, refrain from passing "wishful thinking" legislation and from buying steak when you can only afford hamburger.

You will find that council decisions will be consistently better if you take the time to ask yourself two basic questions before passing a municipal ordinance or resolution. These two questions are:

- 1. If we pass it, can we enforce it?***
- 2. How much is it going to cost?***

You were not elected to make friends, but to provide for the health, safety and welfare of your community. This sometimes means assuming a position that is contrary to powerful special interests or investigating an area where you're not wanted. However, you will need to accept this situation if you are to fulfill your greater obligations to the whole community. Remember, above all, that you asked the people of your community to place you on the hot seat and that your obligations extend to the whole community. Your personal interests are now secondary to those of the community as a whole as they have now placed their pressures and problems on your shoulders. There is an old adage among elected officials to the effect: "If you can't take the heat, get out of the kitchen." Draw whatever comfort you can from this adage by realizing that you are not alone in the kitchen, and that in the final analysis, it is much more important to be fair than to be nice.

YOUR WORDS ARE NEWS

Before you were elected, you could say almost anything you wanted without your words coming back to haunt you. Chances were that your close circle of friends would listen to and value your opinions. If you held especially strong opinions, you might offend your neighbors or other acquaintances in the community but that would be all. Now that you're elected, your words are news. Anything you say has news value to your local newspapers, radio and TV stations and especially to local social media gossip lines. You will soon discover that your opinions are news, even if they have nothing to do with municipal government operations. You will find yourself being quoted about state, federal and county issues. You may even find that the public is interested in your opinion on non-governmental issues. You are now considered to be a "politician" or public figure and, as such, fair game for public scrutiny with regard to all your endeavors and opinions.

If you study the "old timers" on your council, one of the first things you will notice is that they take the time to think before expressing an opinion. These people learned that they could not afford to be impulsive. The second thing you will notice about the more experienced members of the council is that they have learned to temper candor with diplomacy. This does not imply that they do not say what they mean, but rather that they have found ways to convey their opinions without unduly trampling the sensitivities of certain segments of the community. On top of increasing their chances of reelection, learning the art of diplomacy enables the elected official to express opinions without seriously disrupting or polarizing the community. Nothing

can be more destructive to the orderly growth of a community than an elected official who swings a broad axe when a pen knife will do the job.

Additionally, your personal and public actions as well as those of your fellow councilmembers have significant news value. Armed with the phrase "the public's right to know" the media will promptly inform you that they are entitled to all the facts used in determining policy. Also, they will resent being excluded from your deliberations. While the public that elected you does indeed have the right to know, you may find that the local media will often pass over routine matters in favor of reporting conflicts and controversies that make headlines. Obviously, from a news viewpoint, a knockdown, drag-out argument is delightful because it is more fascinating than less exciting routine business.

You should also be aware that, in addition to being news, your words may also render you liable in a lawsuit if you use them to defame someone else. Although the courts have granted immunity for statements made by councilmembers during a council meeting, you do not have an absolute privilege to say anything about anyone. If the person who is the brunt of your verbal attack can prove you were moved by actual malice, then you may be liable for defamation. The key is always to try not to lose your cool.

There are certain matters, however, that by their nature must be withheld by officials until resolved. Basically, these include such things as private business information that falls into the hands of the council, personal information about job applicants that could seriously affect the standing of the person in the community if divulged and certain legal matters. Remember there is a state law specifying just what you can discuss in a private executive session. Follow it carefully!

One absolute rule in dealing with the media is to never attempt to cover up personal or public wrongdoing or anything that relates to the improper conduct of any public official. This clearly falls within the province of the public's right to know. One final warning - there is no statute as a "small town exception" for laws relating to open government.

YOUR TIME IS YOUR STOCK IN TRADE

To effectively perform as a mayor or councilmember, you will have to become an accomplished juggler. You now have three very important roles and only so much time to perform them. Obviously, these roles are (1) your public position; (2) your spouse and family and (3) your career. You already know how much time is demanded by your career and your family, but few people realize how many hours including evenings, Saturdays and Sundays are needed to conduct your public business. Many councils meet several times between regular meetings. In view of this, taking the time to carefully and thoughtfully budget your time as much as possible for the next year is the best insurance you can buy for your own personal happiness. Operating without an organized schedule will leave you constantly facing conflicts between your three priority areas of concern. In addition to your happiness, your competency as an elected official and in your employment may be seriously affected because you have not appropriately organized your time. You will find yourself extremely busy as a result of your election and the need to efficiently and effectively budget your time cannot be overemphasized.

AVOIDING THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY

A significant factor in your survival as an elected official is your approach to conflicts of interest and personal favors. It is important to remember that what appears to be an innocent action to you may appear to be large scale corruption to the public at large and may in fact be against the law. Nothing causes more public distrust of officials and loss of confidence in the council, and therefore the city government, than what may appear to be conflicting loyalties between public and private interests. Obviously, some of it is unavoidable. For example, if you work for a company that owns property in the municipality you may be termed by a few to be a tool of a special interest no matter how impartial your decisions may actually be. Other actions such as fixing a parking ticket for your next door neighbor can result in public mistrust of you and the city government that will be hard to repair. It is important to weigh all your actions against your broad responsibility to the entire community. Moreover, it's important to learn to handle public gossip and idle chatter, and you must constantly hold yourself at arm's length to maximize the separation between your personal activity and your public identity.

CONCLUSION

Certainly the issues discussed above do not cover ALL you will need to know to survive your term of office. They are, however, intended to provide a framework for survival by highlighting some of the quagmires that lie before you. In other words, do not expect that the information contained in this chapter will make you a good public official. If studied and understood, these basic political principles should buy you enough time to learn your new business and to start you on your way as an effective and respected local elected official.

CHAPTER II

YOU AS AN ELECTED PUBLIC OFFICER

Now that you have reviewed some of the political realities of your job as a mayor or councilmember, it is crucial that you become familiar with the legal responsibilities of your office. As a local elected official, you are required by law to perform certain tasks and your failure to comply with these legal responsibilities could place your city or town in the very undesirable situation of being faced with a lawsuit.

In this chapter, a review of your legal status is presented highlighting some of the major provisions applicable to you under the law. However, this review is only a guide and you should meet with your city or town attorney to determine your status in light of the local situation.

Although the majority of your responsibilities as a mayor or councilmember will be collective, there are certain responsibilities and liabilities that you accept as an individual when you take the oath of office. Most of these requirements are set forth in state law; however, charter cities may prescribe additional duties and qualifications for their elected officials. Therefore, although the mayor and council in charter cities must comply with state legal requirements, their city charter should also be consulted for any additional requirements. (Chapter IV discusses the difference between general law and charter government in Arizona and also reviews various options available to general law cities and towns.)

QUALIFICATIONS FOR OFFICE

First, you must be qualified to hold your office. State law requires that, at the time of election, a councilmember must be at least 18 years old, a qualified elector of the city or town and a resident for at least one year. In addition, a councilmember must be able to speak, write and read the English language to be eligible to hold office according to state law.

TERM OF OFFICE

State law provides for a term of two years for all members of a city or town council, and you will be serving your city or town until your successors are elected and qualified unless you choose to resign your office before the end of the term. An alternative to two-year terms, which has been provided in state law and adopted by most communities by a majority vote of the qualified electors, is four-year staggered terms. Some advantages have been cited to this optional procedure, the principal one being the continuity achieved with having experienced members on the council at all times.

If you are serving in a charter city, the charter rather than state law determines your term of office and may set certain limitations on the number of consecutive terms you serve.

OATH OF OFFICE

Taking the oath of office will be your first official action. All elected public officers of the state including city and town mayors and councilmembers are required to take an oath of office prior to assuming their duties and being seated on the council. The form of this oath is prescribed by state law. The oath is as follows:

State of Arizona, County of _____, I, _____, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution and laws of the State of Arizona; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same, and defend them against all enemies, foreign and domestic, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of the office of (name of office) according to the best of my ability, so help me God (or so I do affirm).

Signature of Officer

Your signed oath will be filed in the city or town files. The oath is usually administered by the city or town clerk or other officer who has taken the oath.

OFFICIAL BOND

To protect the people who elected you, all public officers, including city and town mayors and councilmembers, must execute and file an official bond. The purpose of the bond is to protect individual citizens through court action against unlawful or negligent behavior on the part of municipal officers. You are pledging by this bond to adhere to the oath of office. The bond must be signed by at least two sureties or a qualified surety company. The bond may be an individual bond or a blanket bond. The cost of the bond is usually borne by the municipality and is often part of the comprehensive liability policy carried by the city or town.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Once you take the oath of office, you are officially a public officer, and one of the most critical things for you to understand is the conflict of interest law. Generally, the law holds that if you or a close relative has a substantial interest in any contract, sale, purchase or service or in any decision of the council, you must make that interest known in the official records of the city or town and not vote or otherwise participate in the contract, sale, purchase or decision. State law allows cities and towns to purchase supplies, materials and equipment from a member of the council without going to public competitive bid if the single transaction does not exceed \$300, the annual total of such transactions does not exceed \$1,000 and a municipal policy covering such purchases is approved on an annual basis. All transactions with a city or town's elected official above and beyond these limits must be the result of an open, competitive public bid process.

Not all private interests, however, constitute a conflict. The law defines some private interests as remote that do not constitute a conflict of interest in the legal sense. A conflict of interest will most often result from substantial ownership or salaried employment with a private corporation doing business with the city or town. However, distinguishing between remote and substantial interests can be a very complicated matter. Therefore, it is advisable to consult the city or town attorney or a private attorney before you take office to determine where you may have conflicts and whenever you have a question as to whether a conflict exists.

State law also places specific limits on your activities with the city or town during and after your term of office. For example, you are barred from representing another person for compensation before the council or other city or town agency for one year after you have left office if you were directly concerned and personally participated in the subject matter during your term of office. Further you are prohibited from using for profit any confidential information obtained during your term of office. Also, you may not use or attempt to use your service as a mayor or councilmember to secure any valuable thing or benefit for yourself.

In the absence of specific advice, it would be better to be cautious and declare that a conflict exists and refrain from participation in the decision in any way than to risk violation of the law. This declaration should be included in the council minutes. There are very severe legal sanctions for violations of the conflict of interest law. A public officer who intentionally or knowingly conceals or fails to disclose such a substantial interest or who intentionally or knowingly violates any provisions of the conflict of interest law is guilty of a felony and upon conviction automatically forfeits his office. In addition, any contract, sale or purchase made in violation of the law may be voided by action of the city or town council.

The conflict of interest law may be amended by the Legislature, and we strongly suggest a careful review of the current provisions of this law before you take office. You may wish to read a League publication entitled "You as a Public Official," which is regularly updated to reflect those changes in law.

INCOMPATIBILITY OF OFFICES

You probably have enough demands on your time as a member of the council without considering taking on another public office. However, the question does occasionally arise as to whether locally elected officials can also serve in another public capacity. Our principal concern here is whether an elected local official may hold more than one public office.

Generally, an incompatibility of office exists in either of the following two situations:

- (1) the main duties of the two offices cannot be carried out with care and ability; or***
- (2) one office is subordinate to and interferes with the other office and leads to the conclusion that the duties of the two offices cannot be performed at the same time with "impartiality and honesty."***

Specifically, you are barred from being a state legislator, or a U.S. Congressman or Senator. In addition, members of the State Personnel Board and most state employees cannot be candidates for nomination or election to any paid public office. Federal employees have been allowed to run for public office on a nonpartisan basis, and in certain areas of the country, including Sierra

Vista and Huachuca City in Arizona, they have been granted a further exemption. In such specified areas, a federal employee may run for office as an independent even though other candidates may be officially identified on the ballot as candidates of a national or state political party.

A distinction is drawn in the incompatibility of office question between employment and service as an elected or appointed member of a board or commission. Thus, as a mayor or councilmember you are permitted to serve on a school board or other uncompensated public body as long as the duties do not conflict. State law prohibits you, except during the final year of your term of office, from offering yourself for nomination or election to any salaried local, state or federal office. You are determined to have offered yourself for nomination or election to a salaried public office upon filing of a nomination paper or upon formal declaration of candidacy for such office, whichever occurs first. The League's general counsel has issued an opinion finding that this prohibition does not apply if your service on the city or town council is not compensated.

In addition to the general bar against holding two elected offices simultaneously, you are prohibited from working for or receiving any compensation from the city or town during your term of office other than the compensation provided for your office of mayor or councilmember. In the opinion of the League general counsel, this prohibition also means that you cannot resign your office during your term of office and then be hired as an employee of the city or town. There may be additional restrictions if you are holding office in a charter city. Once again, the complicated legal nature of this question makes it advisable for you to consult an attorney before you consider accepting another public office during your term as mayor or councilmember.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

State law requires each city and town to adopt standards of financial disclosure applicable to the mayor and council including annual reporting requirements. You filled out such a report during your candidacy for local office. If you have been appointed to fill a vacancy on the council, you must file a financial disclosure statement within 60 days after assuming office. The financial disclosure statement must be filed annually with the clerk on or before January 31 of each year covering the previous calendar year. These statements are public records and must be open to inspection by the public. A public officer who knowingly fails to file a financial disclosure statement, or who knowingly files an incomplete or false financial disclosure statement, is guilty of a class 1 misdemeanor. There are also civil penalties for violations.

NEPOTISM

The laws of Arizona prohibit nepotism, which is the hiring of relatives by local officials. The law specifies that a public officer may not appoint or vote for the appointment of a person related to him by affinity (marriage) or consanguinity (blood) within the third degree. In essence, what this means is that you cannot in any way be involved in the appointment of an in-law or a blood relative as defined by law. "Consanguinity to the third degree" includes your husband or wife, brother or sister, parent or child, great grandparents, grandparents, grandchildren, great grandchildren, uncle or aunt, nephew or niece. It appears, however, that this law does not apply

to cousins. This law has limited effect in cities and towns with the council-manager system, since the council has limited appointment authority. This law does not prohibit a relative of a mayor or councilmember from working for the city or town; rather it prohibits the mayor or councilmember from being involved in any way in the appointment of the relative.

MUNICIPAL AND PERSONAL LIABILITY

In Arizona, local governments may be held liable for certain tort actions. A tort is a civil wrong other than a breach of contract for which the injured party may bring legal action against the wrongdoer.

However, despite the existence of this governmental liability, the municipality must be proven negligent in a particular case before it may be held liable for its torts. It is the responsibility of the courts to determine whether negligence has indeed occurred.

Local governments face an increase in both tort liability suits and the costs of public liability insurance coverage. This means serious headaches for local officials since the city can be tied up in litigation for long periods of time if a liability suit is brought against the municipality.

Absolute Immunity. State law specifically defines when absolute and qualified immunity apply to governmental acts. A city or town is not liable for acts and omissions of its employees that constitute the exercise of a judicial or legislative function and the exercise of an administrative function involving the determination of fundamental governmental policy. According to state law, the determination of fundamental governmental policy involves the exercise of discretion in the allocation of existing and potential governmental resources. The determination of fundamental governmental policy also includes the licensing and regulation of any profession or occupation.

Qualified Immunity. Unless a public employee acting within the scope of his employment intended to cause injury or was grossly negligent, neither a city or town or a public employee is liable for specific actions. These specific actions include the issuance of or failure to revoke or suspend any license, permit or similar authorization for which absolute immunity is not provided, and the failure to discover violations of any provision of law requiring inspections of property other than property owned by the city or town. Qualified immunity also applies to the failure to make an arrest or the failure to retain an arrested person in custody, and an injury caused by a peace officer while rendering emergency care at the scene of an emergency

State law also provides for qualified immunity under other circumstances. Cities and towns and their employees are not liable for injuries arising out of the design, construction, maintenance or improvement to highways, roads and streets if such is in conformance with generally accepted engineering or design standards in effect at the time. In addition, governmental entities and their employees acting within the scope of their employment are exempt from either punitive or exemplary damages.

Additionally, it should be noted that state law attempts to limit the liability of mayors and councilmembers for the unlawful act of an officer or employee of the city or town. However, where the plaintiff can prove that the official knew or reasonably should have known of the act of the city employee or that the act was committed with the authority of the official, the official will be held liable.

Theories of liability are very complex, and, in every case where a potential issue of liability is raised, advice should be sought from your municipal attorney.

DUTIES OF THE MAYOR

In addition to the general legal requirements for all councilmembers in Arizona, the mayor may have several additional duties or responsibilities. The duties may vary considerably for a mayor in a charter city and for a mayor in a general law city or town. A city charter may prescribe additional duties for the mayor, while the duties of mayors in general law cities and towns, regardless of what options are exercised, are substantially similar. The city charter is the best source of information concerning the role of the mayor in a charter city. Local ordinances are the best sources of information about the role of a general law city or town mayor. Since the vast majority of cities and towns in Arizona operate under the general laws of the state, this section concentrates on the responsibilities of the mayor in such communities.

**Responsibilities of
the Mayor:**
Executive
Legislative
Ceremonial

Executive Responsibilities. State law provides that the mayor is the chief executive officer of the municipality. What this designation means will differ considerably depending upon local laws and practice. If the mayor has specific executive responsibilities, these should be found in local ordinances.

The mayor may also be given extraordinary authority in emergencies. For example, the mayor may assume certain duties in the face of widespread civil disorder or in the event of a natural disaster if the council has provided by ordinance for the exercise of such powers.

Legislative Responsibilities. There are certain responsibilities of a legislative nature that are vested in the office of the mayor in common council municipalities in Arizona. Since the mayor is a member of the council, the mayor has the right to participate in all deliberations of the council in the same manner as any other member and is expected to vote on all matters coming before the council unless a conflict of interest exists. Whether the mayor exercises the right to vote in council meetings will depend on the customs or council procedures of the particular city or town. The mayor also presides over council meetings and may call special meetings of the council if a procedure for calling such meetings has been adopted by the council. Except as may be provided in a city charter, the mayor does not have the power to veto legislation passed by the council.

Ceremonial Responsibilities. The mayor will also be called upon to perform certain duties that can best be described as ceremonial. The mayor is often the one who acts as spokesperson for the city or town with the press. For example, the mayor may be asked to be present at openings of large facilities to "cut" the ribbon, to issue proclamations declaring such things as Fire Prevention Week and be called upon to give speeches to civic clubs and other such groups. This public posture of the mayor will depend a great deal upon the individual's personality; however, it should be stressed that the willing performance of such functions often helps to create a most favorable impression of city hall as well as to enhance the public's awareness and popularity of the mayor's office.

A good deal of the public relations work of the community usually falls on the mayor's shoulders. Such activities may involve working with the chamber of commerce in an effort to attract tourists or new industries to the community or making sure that local projects and programs get sufficient publicity in the press.

In the absence of the mayor, the vice mayor usually performs the duties of the mayor, including the executive and legislative responsibilities discussed above.

COMPENSATION

You are certainly not in this job for the money! Most cities and towns provide a minimum salary or none at all for the mayor and council. The council by ordinance or resolution can specify the salaries for the mayor and council.

A council may not increase its own compensation; therefore, any approved salary increases do not take effect until after the next regular election.

RECALL

Your seat on the council is not necessarily secure for the duration of your term of office. All persons holding elected public office in Arizona are subject to the possibility of a recall. The qualified electors of your city or town may cause your removal from office by following these procedures:

1. Petitions signed by a number of electors equal to 25 percent of the votes cast for the office subject to recall in the preceding general election must be filed with the city or town clerk requesting that a recall election be called. This petition must include a statement of not more than 200 words explaining the reasons for the recall.
2. After the petitions are filed and the signatures verified by the county recorder, the clerk notifies the officer in writing that a recall petition has been filed. The officer then has 10 days in which to file a statement of not more than 200 words defending his or her official conduct. If such a statement is filed, it is printed on the ballot at the time of the recall election.
3. If the officer does not resign within five days after the petition has been filed, the clerk calls a recall election to be held on the next following consolidated election date that is 90 days or more after the order calling the election.
4. The officer's name automatically appears on the ballot at the recall election if the officer does not resign within the five-day period. Other candidates may appear on the ballot through a nomination petition process. The petitions must include names equal to or exceeding 2 percent of the total votes cast for all candidates for that office at the last election. If more than one member of the council is being recalled, the nomination petition must specify who the challenger is running against. The statements that were contained on the recall petition

and on the officer's statement also appear on the ballot. The candidate receiving the largest number of votes in the election serves for the remainder of the term.

No recall petition may be circulated against either a mayor or a councilmember until the person has been in office for at least six months. The six months is cumulative; if you are elected to a second term, you can be recalled at any time. No more than one recall petition may be circulated against an officer during a single term of office, unless those signing the petition for the second recall election first pay all the expenses of the preceding election.

VACANCIES IN OFFICE

Arizona law defines vacancies in office as resulting from any one of the following causes:

1. Death.
2. Insanity, when judicially determined.
3. Resignation and the lawful acceptance thereof.
4. Removal from office.
5. Ceasing to be a resident of the state or of the city, town or ward from which the officer was elected or appointed.
6. Absence from the state without permission of the council for more than three consecutive months.
7. Ceasing to discharge the duties of office for a period of three consecutive months.
8. Conviction of a felony or an offense involving a violation of the officer's official duties.
9. Failure of the person elected or appointed to file an official oath or bond within the time prescribed by law.
10. Decision of a competent tribunal declaring void the officer's election or appointment.
11. Failure of a person to be elected or appointed to the office.
12. Violation of A.R.S. § 38-296. This section prohibits any incumbent of a salaried elective office, except in the final year of his or her term, from offering themselves for nomination or election to another salaried elective office.

Regardless of the cause of a vacancy in the office of a mayor or councilmember, the vacancy is filled either by appointment or election at the council's option. An election alternative to filling vacancies was enacted by the 2003 Legislature. If the mayor's office is vacated temporarily by a permitted absence, the council may appoint one of its members to serve as mayor.

Law enacted in 2011 modifies the method of filling vacant seats on city and town councils in non-charter municipalities, based on when the vacancy occurs. If the vacancy occurs more than 30 days before the nomination deadline, the appointment is until the next regularly scheduled council election. If the vacancy occurs less than 30 days before the nomination deadline, the appointment is for the whole length of the unexpired term.

CHAPTER III

THE JOB OF THE COUNCIL

The council in Arizona cities and towns is the local policy making and law-making body possessing broad authority and the legal power to govern the affairs of the community. The job of the council essentially is to use these powers granted by state law and local ordinances for the good of the community and its residents. In legal jargon, the city or town council is a legislative body vested with all the corporate powers of the municipality. The legislative tasks of the council are accomplished through its collective power to pass laws and make decisions regarding the city or town. It is important to remember, however, that the powers of local government are restricted. Local laws must conform to the United States and Arizona Constitutions, federal laws and the laws of the state of Arizona. Although the power to enact local laws is therefore limited, a city or town council has a wide range of legal authority to pass laws for the good of the community. The actions of a city or town council over the years have determined to a great extent what type of community your city or town is at the present time, and what direction it will take in the future.

Later in this chapter several of the specific functions of the council will be discussed. However, first it is important, particularly for the new mayor or councilmember, to understand the basic setup and organization of a council meeting.

COUNCIL MEETINGS

Your collective policy and law making powers are put into action at the meetings of the council. It is here that you perform the business of your city or town. In the early days of our country, the powers of local government were exercised by all the adult members of a community coming together to decide what the laws were going to be. The democratic concept of those early town hall meetings has carried over to today in the exercise of governmental powers by city or town councils throughout the United States. In fact, this early heritage carries over to local governments far more than to any other level of government in our country today. The opportunity for citizens to be heard, the availability of local officials to the citizenry and the openness of council meetings all lend themselves to the essential democratic nature of local government.

However, it should be emphasized that the council meeting is exactly that, a meeting of the council. It is not the public's meeting. As an elected official, you have the responsibility of representing the citizens, and to do that job well, you have to be able to accomplish your tasks during council meetings. Remember, the right of the public under the open meeting law is simply to attend and listen to the deliberations, not participate in them.

Certainly, one of the most important jobs of the council is to hear both the requests and grievances of local citizens and to respond to the needs of the residents of the community within the realm of local decision making powers. The council will be asked to solve all sorts of problems, and, in some cases, this may be extremely difficult or impossible. However, both the

need and the value of a public forum are nevertheless critical to the viability of local government as we know it today.

Some councils have established time limits for petitions or comments from the public, and when a controversial item is being discussed a total time limit may be established for each side in the debate.

Without diminishing the extreme importance of what the council actually accomplishes at its meetings, it is nearly as important to also maintain a certain degree of decorum at the meetings. The majority of Americans today, if they ever see government at work, are most likely to judge both the effectiveness and the democracy of government through attendance at a local council meeting. After all, few citizens have the opportunity to observe the state Legislature or for that matter, a county board of supervisors at work. Your council meeting may be the only contact many people have with government in the United States. This should not lead, however, to marathon council meetings nor should the audience run the meeting. A six-hour council meeting serves no one - the ability to comprehend and make decisions is not enhanced by endurance tests. The success of council meetings often can be directly traced to the ability and willingness of the mayor to be a strong chairman. Issues should be discussed and a vote taken; there is no need to deliberate so long and hard as to run the meeting or the issue into the ground.

In Arizona cities and towns, the council is principally a policy making body with the administrative functions delegated to the city or town staff in the council/manager form. Organizationally then, the council is, in almost all instances, acting as the council of the whole rather than as individual members charged with particular and distinct administrative functions.

First Council Meeting. The council in non-charter municipalities is required to meet within 20 days after the canvass of its election. In communities where the mayor is not directly elected, the primary purpose of this first meeting is the election of one of the councilmembers to be mayor. At this first meeting, the council may determine the schedule for future council meetings and fix the hour and date by ordinance, although usually the practice of previous councils is simply observed. The council must meet at the regularly scheduled times. A number of cities and towns have provided by ordinance for special meetings of the council, which are called by the mayor, or the manager or clerk at the request of a specified number of the councilmembers. A special meeting must be called in accordance with the notice requirements of state law, unless it is an emergency meeting. In addition, at this first meeting, the council may determine the procedures to be followed in all future meetings. Since a quorum or majority of the council is necessary to transact business, it is important that all councilmembers realize the importance of attending the regular council meetings.

Conduct of Council Meetings. The mayor is the presiding officer at council meetings while the city or town clerk serves as the clerk of the council. In the absence of the mayor, the vice mayor presides or the council may appoint one of its members as the presiding officer. As part of the rules of procedure to be followed by the council in its meetings, the order of business for council meetings should be determined. This order of business will constitute the agenda for the meeting; however, the order of business should be flexible rather than rigid to enable the council to conduct its business easily.

State law is very explicit about the contents of an agenda. For an open public meeting, the agenda must specifically list the items to be discussed, considered or decided upon. This prohibits the use of such agenda items as "new business," "unfinished business" or "committee reports," unless the specific items of new or unfinished business and committee reports are listed in some detail on the agenda.

As an overall framework for the business of the council, the following order is sometimes used.

1. Call To Order (Many councils then ask those in attendance to join them in the Pledge of Allegiance; some also have an opening Invocation.)
2. Roll Call
3. Minutes (The clerk may read the minutes of the preceding council meeting that should either be approved as written, or, if there are errors, these should be noted and corrected. In practice, the manager or clerk may provide the council with advance copies of the previous meeting's minutes so that they need not be read in full. However, the council should, at this time, note any errors.)
4. Petitions or Comments by Those Citizens Present or Call To The Public (You may want to provide time limits for such presentations by each individual person. The mayor is usually charged with the responsibility of asking persons to introduce themselves and to ensure that the comments of the citizens are in order.)
5. Reports From Officers and Committees (At this time, individual department heads or chairpersons of various municipal committees may be asked to report on the activities under their responsibility.) For discussion to occur on these reports, they must be listed with some specificity on the agenda.
6. Ordinances/Resolutions (This includes the introduction/ discussion of new ordinances and resolutions, which must be listed by subject matter on the agenda.)
7. Adjournment

The responsibility for drawing up the agenda is usually placed with the manager or clerk under the direction of the mayor. The agenda, as well as supporting documents, should be circulated in advance of the meeting to each member of the council, other municipal officials and interested parties such as the press. The open meeting law requires that the agenda must be made available to the public and posted on your city website at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. In addition, copies of the agenda should be made available to people who attend the meetings of the council. Several copies of the agenda should also be posted in conspicuous places in city hall near the council chambers. By doing this, everyone interested will know what the council will consider at the meeting and when each item will be considered.

A number of cities and towns use a consent agenda to dispose of routine matters before the council. These matters are listed on the agenda and one motion is made to approve all items on

the consent agenda. Members of the council can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda for specific discussion and action. Use of the consent agenda leaves more time to consider important business before the council.

To further increase the efficiency of their meetings, a number of city and town councils schedule work sessions prior to the regular meeting time. At such sessions, councilmembers can review the matters scheduled for the meeting and have ample time to question and receive input from the staff and consult with the city or town attorney on legal technicalities. Some sort of briefing session before a council meeting is very helpful to the smooth running of the council, and more importantly, can help to ensure that councilmembers are aware of any problems and the potential consequences of their actions. If you do hold work sessions, these meetings must be open to the public and should not lead to rubber-stamping decisions at the formal council meeting.

Council Meeting Procedures. After the mayor calls the meeting to order and the clerk has called the roll and read the minutes of the previous meeting, the mayor as presiding officer is responsible for moving the meeting along by announcing the next order of business. The job of running a council meeting has been compared to walking a tightrope, and in many cases, it is a very appropriate comparison. You want everyone to be heard and yet there have to be some limitations in order to avoid meaningless debate. Particularly when controversial questions or matters are before the council, this can be a thorny problem. It will be up to the mayor in most cases to handle this type of situation as he or she best sees fit. Some cities and towns use Robert's Rules of Order as a guide in matters of parliamentary procedure, although its provisions do not always fit the work of a legislative body and should be used with some flexibility.

The mayor should follow the prepared agenda as much as possible. That being said, deviations from the prepared agenda will certainly be necessary on occasion. In that case, the mayor should clearly announce that the council has decided to rearrange the agenda or not consider a particular item at the meeting for the information of those residents in attendance as well as other members of the council. When changing the order of discussion, it must be done so as not to prevent or deny any member of the public the opportunity to listen to the discussion of any agenda item.

Anyone addressing the council, including members of the council, should address themselves to the mayor and wait until they are recognized before proceeding further. This is to prevent general conversation and to keep the order necessary to maintain decorum and accomplish the business of the council. As the council considers specific requests or proposals, the mayor will be responsible for keeping the discussion under control. In many councils, debate will often lapse into informality; however, in voting, it is important that sufficient formality be observed to ensure that the vote on the matter is accurately recorded. Once debate is over, the mayor should restate the question in full, both for the information of the audience and for members of the council. This is particularly true when much debate has been heard on a specific matter. A member of the council then makes the appropriate motion that is seconded by another member of the council. Immediately after a motion has been made and seconded, the mayor should state the motion. Additional debate may be allowed on a question before the mayor calls for a vote. The mayor may call for the ayes and nays by a voice vote or roll call. In either case, the clerk is required to record the vote of each member on the issue.

To recap then . . .

The basic steps to a motion are as follows.

A member of the council:

- 1. Addresses the chair;***
- 2. Waits for recognition; and***
- 3. Makes the motion.***

A second member of the council:

- 4. Seconds the motion.***

The mayor:

- 5. States the motion;***
- 6. Calls for remarks;***
- 7. Puts the question;***
- 8. Takes the vote; and***
- 9. States the results.***

OPEN MEETING LAW

The state open meeting law is very specific, and you should familiarize yourself with its provisions (see the League publication "You as a Public Official"). The law provides very simply that, with a few limited exceptions, all meetings of a public body must be open to all persons desiring to attend. Electronic communications cannot be used to circumvent the law. Notices of the date, time and place of every meeting are required, and you must make available an agenda for each meeting at least 24 hours in advance. There are exceptions for emergency meetings. When an actual emergency is found to exist, a meeting can be called with notice appropriate under the circumstances. Court actions can be filed against the entire council for violating the law, or individual members who knowingly violate the law. Penalties range from civil fines to removal from office.

The American with Disabilities Act requires that all public activities, including public meetings, be accessible to persons with disabilities. The notice for a public meeting should also contain information on how a person with a disability can go about receiving reasonable accommodations, such as a sign language interpreter, so that he or she can participate.

No legal action can be taken by the council except in a public meeting. If you hold work sessions, these meetings must be open to the public. Citizens are wary of behind the scenes decision making, and you should make sure that adequate discussion of all matters before the council occurs at the regular council meeting.

State law allows the holding of executive sessions of a council for certain specific discussions only. The city or town attorney should be consulted for those topics that you may discuss in executive session. The executive session is a council meeting at which the public is excluded. An executive session may be convened by a majority vote of the members of the council constituting a quorum. Notice of the meeting must be posted at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting. In addition, an agenda must be made available 24 hours in advance, although the agenda should not contain information that would defeat the purpose of the executive session. Minutes of the executive session are confidential. A council should exercise discretion in using executive sessions and be certain of state law limitations. In addition, no final decisions can be made in executive session, although you may give your legal counsel direction to proceed in certain instances.

It must be reemphasized that the council cannot make any decisions or discuss the business of the council except in a meeting that is open to the public. This is a requirement of state law and further preserves the democratic quality of local government proceedings. Certainly, the public has a right to know what its government and especially its elected officials, are doing.

THE COUNCIL'S LAW-MAKING POWERS

The principal job of the council is legislative in nature, and municipal legislation is accomplished primarily through two devices - ordinances and resolutions.

The question sometimes arises as to whether the council's action should be in the form of an ordinance, a resolution or merely a motion. In general, an ordinance is considered the highest, most authoritative form of action the council can take.

Three specific instances when ordinances are required:

1. If the council is declaring something illegal and providing a penalty for violations.
2. If state law requires that council action be in the form of an ordinance.
3. If the council is amending or repealing another ordinance.

It should also be noted that there are two basic types of ordinances - general and special. General ordinances are those that establish a rule that applies generally, for example, no dog may run at large within the city. Special ordinances provide for specific acts such as the annexation of a particular piece of property.

Your city or town attorney should always be consulted if the council is in doubt as to whether a specific action should be in the form of an ordinance.

Members of the council should have some idea of the form of a typical ordinance even though the actual drafting of ordinances is usually handled by the city or town staff or attorney. In general, there are seven basic parts to an ordinance: a number, a title, an enacting clause, a body, a penalty clause, a repealer clause and a saving or severability clause.

The title should include a very general statement of the content of the ordinance phrased in sufficiently broad terms to avoid limiting the scope of the ordinance. The enacting clause declares that the ordinance is to be enacted into law and states the name of the city or town that is passing the ordinance. The body is that part of an ordinance that sets forth the main provisions of the ordinance arranged so that each section consists of a single provision. Sections should be numbered, titled and arranged in a logical sequence. In addition, each section should be readily identifiable for a person looking for a specific provision. The penalty clause states the penalty to be imposed for violation of the ordinance. Particularly if a penalty clause is to be included in an ordinance, the council should have the city or town attorney check to ensure that it is in accordance with the state constitution and state law. The repealer clause states that other ordinances or sections of ordinances that are in conflict with the present ordinance are repealed. It is helpful, of course, to include the specific ordinance numbers, if known, of those previous ordinances that are repealed or affected by the new law. The saving or severability clause expresses the council's intent that an ordinance be enforced although some parts of it may be declared invalid. In addition, an emergency clause may be attached to allow the ordinance to go into effect immediately if three-quarters of all the members of the council vote for the ordinance.

There are posting and publication requirements for ordinances. For example, ordinances with penalty clauses do not become operative until posted in three public places. Publication requirements apply not only to ordinances, but also to notices of elections, invitations for bids, notices of letting contracts and other notices of a public character. The frequency of publication depends on whether the newspaper in your community is a weekly or a daily paper. For weekly papers, ordinances and other official acts of the municipality must be published once a week for two consecutive weeks. In a daily newspaper the law requires publication four consecutive times. If no newspaper is printed within the municipality, these publication requirements may be met by printing the ordinance or other document in a newspaper published in the county in which the municipality is located. Further, state law provides that the cost of publication of any laws or ordinances that have been enacted on behalf of private persons be paid by the individual who also can designate the newspaper in which they wish the document to be printed.

A number of Arizona cities and towns have enacted codes that contain all the general and permanent laws in effect in a municipality. This codification of ordinances is accomplished by reorganizing the provisions of the municipality's laws into an orderly and concise code that the council then enacts as the official law of the city or town, repealing the original ordinances. In many instances, these codes are available online on the city or town's website. The advantages of adopting a code of ordinances include accessibility by both citizens and local officials, and simplification of the task of researching laws on any given subject. It is important that a code be carefully organized and thoroughly indexed to enhance its usefulness to the council and the city or town as a whole.

The continued validity and usefulness of a code will depend on how up to date the code is kept. Accordingly every general ordinance passed by the council should be adopted as an amendment to the code. Further, the provisions of the ordinance should be inserted into every copy of the code so that current law can be referenced. A municipal code can become obsolete and useless to the council and citizens within months of passage if it is not updated regularly. Special ordinances are not usually placed in the city or town code.

Whereas ordinances are regulations of a general and permanent nature, resolutions are generally more temporary and are used for council action on administrative or executive matters or on statements of general council policy. In essence, a resolution is a written motion. Additionally, there is no law requiring it to have a title or a number. Although it is customary for the resolution to be signed by the mayor, there is no statutory requirement to this effect. It is important, however, that your city or town attorney make the final determination of whether an ordinance or resolution is appropriate for the particular case, since state law does require that some actions be taken by ordinance.

POWERS OF APPOINTMENT AND REMOVAL

The council has full authority over the appointment of municipal officers and employees in common council cities and towns, although this appointing authority is often delegated by the council to the manager. Where this is not the case, the council often accepts the recommendation of the manager where appointments are concerned. In most Arizona municipalities, local merit system ordinances require that certain positions be filled on the basis of ability or competence. As a result, examinations of one kind or another may determine the appointment to a large extent.

There are both federal and state laws that must be observed in the appointment and removal of officers and employees. In hiring and firing municipal employees it is important to observe equal opportunity and affirmative action guidelines. In addition, the Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits an employer from discriminating against a qualified individual with a disability.

ELECTION POWERS AND DUTIES

The council has been assigned specific powers in regard to the municipal election process.

The most important duty of the council in regard to the municipal election process is the canvass of the vote and the issuance of certificates of nomination and election. Although this may seem to be a fairly routine function, it must be remembered that the council makes the decision that all applicable laws have been followed for the election.

... the council has the final word on whether or not an individual meets the necessary legal requirements to become an elected public officer.

In addition, the council may call special elections for the purpose of presenting a bond issue to local residents or for submitting a charter or charter amendments to the people. With the exception of city charter adoption elections, special elections can only be held on four dates as provided by Arizona statute. There are two dates in the spring in March and May, and two in the fall in September and November.

It is important to note that the council cannot submit a measure to the people unless there is specific statutory authorization. In practice, this means that although the voters may petition for an initiative, the council cannot submit an ordinance to the people in the absence of such a petition unless otherwise provided for by law.

JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS

Under Arizona law, a city or town may enter into "joint exercise of powers agreements" with any other governmental agency. Such an agreement, often called an intergovernmental agreement, provides for one or more agencies to perform jointly anything that each agency is empowered to do on its own or that multiple agencies can form a non-profit corporation to perform the function on behalf of the agencies. Consequently, this can be a useful device for a city or town to join forces with the county or another municipality to perform some function more effectively or economically. This authority has been used for such purposes as sharing a sanitary landfill or operating a library. The procedure for making such agreements is specified in state law. These procedures should be followed carefully to ensure a valid agreement.

BOARDS/COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES

Boards, commissions and citizen committees can provide a city or town council with a great deal of assistance both in formulating public policy and in transforming policy decisions into action. Some boards and commissions are empowered to make administrative decisions, some make only recommendations to the council, and others may be primarily fact finding bodies. The council may establish boards and commissions as permanent bodies with members appointed to overlapping terms to add continuity to committee operations. An example of this type of board or commission in many municipalities is the planning and zoning commission. The council may also appoint committees, sometimes known as task forces, for a limited time to accomplish a single purpose. Meetings of boards and commissions are considered public meetings and must have proper legal notice, be open to the public and have minutes taken.

The advantages of utilizing special boards and commissions include the ability to deal with technical problems considered beyond the scope of elected officials who must deal with problems of all kinds. In addition, boards and commissions provide the city or town with an opportunity for utilizing the talents of private citizens with special expertise and for permitting citizens to serve their community in a meaningful capacity. In addition, such boards or commissions, because of their limited scope, may be able to delve more deeply into a particular problem or area of concern. On the other hand, such boards or commissions should not be considered a substitute for consideration of a matter or action by the council, and care should be taken to avoid using boards and commissions more than necessary.

Membership on boards and commissions varies from three to 20 members, although in Arizona there seems to be a preference for small boards and commissions. Members are usually appointed by the council to serve for terms set by the council.

A special advisory committee or task force can sometimes do the background work for the council on a technical or even a politically sensitive issue. Care must be exercised in both the decision to appoint such a committee and the members appointed. When the committee is finished with its work, it should be disbanded, perhaps with an official thank you recognition of committee members at a council meeting.

CONCLUSION

These are the principal legislative and administrative responsibilities of the council within a municipality. Again, it must be stressed that in charter cities additional requirements and functions may be assumed by members of the council depending upon provisions of the individual charter.

You will be involved in a wide range of decision making that encompasses a broad variety of subjects. The authority and responsibility of your office in performing these tasks may at times be a burden, but the service you are providing for the residents of your community can also be rewarding as you see the city or town benefit from local policy decisions.

CHAPTER IV

WHAT IS A CITY OR TOWN?

Each city and town in the state of Arizona is unique. Not only are there vast differences in size and population, but there are also different programs and services being provided to residents of each individual community.

Yet, despite these variations there are a number of activities that are common to every Arizona city and town. For example, as local officials you are charged with providing police protection for local residents and with maintaining and constructing local streets. Additionally, other services commonly provided by cities and towns include fire protection, garbage and trash collection, water and sewer service, and parks and recreation. The types and levels of municipal services in your community depend to a great extent on the needs of the residents and the financial resources of your city or town government.

In essence, municipal government through the services it provides and through its involvement in land use regulation provides for the physical needs and development of the community in response to local needs. To explain how these functions are performed, this chapter reviews the legal standing of a city or town, its organization, financial resources, budgeting, bonding authority and tools involved with land use control. Hopefully, an understanding of these areas will answer the basic questions that are involved with just "what is a city or town?"

LEGAL STANDING OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS

To put the whole subject of your legal standing in perspective, let's start at the very beginning. First, your city or town is incorporated. Basically, incorporation is the process by which city and town governments are formed in the state of Arizona. Procedures that must be followed and requirements that must be met in order to incorporate are strictly prescribed by state law.

The first legal requirement for incorporation of an area is that it include a population of at least 1,500 people, including men, women and children. In 1972, the Legislature amended the law increasing the minimum number of people necessary for incorporation from 500 to 1,500. This action in no way affected, of course, those towns incorporated with less than 1,500 prior to 1972.

The second basic legal requirement is that the area proposed for incorporation be a community. The task of determining whether a "community" exists is a matter of state definition and court interpretation. Basically, it must be an area that is urban in nature and in which the residents have common interests in basic public services, such as police protection, and participate in common social activities.

There are two methods for accomplishing incorporation. The first requires that a petition be submitted to the county board of supervisors that includes the signatures of two-thirds of the qualified electors residing within the area to be incorporated. If all legal procedures are followed

and the board of supervisors is satisfied that the petitions are signed by two-thirds of the qualified electors in the area under consideration, it orders the area incorporated.

The second method of incorporation provides that 10 percent of the qualified electors residing within the area proposed for incorporation may petition the board of supervisors for an election on the question of incorporation. If a majority of the qualified electors voting on the issue vote in favor of incorporation, the board of supervisors will declare the community incorporated. If a majority of those voting vote against the incorporation, no further election can be held for one year and the community remains unincorporated.

When the board of supervisors orders an area incorporated, it appoints the first council, consisting of seven residents of the newly incorporated community.

Arizona law also provides for the incorporation of a community that lies within more than one county, for the incorporation of a community within an urbanized area, for the consolidation of two towns into one, for the disincorporation of a city or town and for the reincorporation of a previously existing city or town.

It is important to remember that cities and towns, unlike counties, are voluntary units of local government. Cities and towns exist because the people in a particular area desired local government and took affirmative action to establish (incorporate) the community. With the birth or incorporation of a new community, however, does not come independence. Cities and towns in Arizona are political subdivisions of the state. What this means is that all legislative, administrative and judicial powers exercised by municipalities are granted by the constitution or are delegations of power by the Legislature. Except as restricted by the constitution, the Legislature may restrict or take away such powers as it deems necessary. In the words of the Arizona Supreme Court, "Municipal corporations are creatures of the state and possess only such powers as the state confers upon them, subject to addition or diminution at the state's discretion."

Additionally, the actions of Arizona municipalities are limited by the United States Constitution and laws passed by Congress as well as judicial interpretation of the Constitution and public law. The United States Constitution, for example, requires that municipalities must not infringe upon the individual's freedom of speech, press or religion. Also, a city or town may not restrict the rights of due process and equal protection or interfere with interstate commerce. Whenever municipal officials are unsure whether proposed actions are authorized and not in conflict with federal or state law, the city or town attorney should be consulted.

Most of the authorized powers and the restrictions on the actions of local governments are to be found in the Arizona Revised Statutes, which are enacted by the Legislature. In the absence of constitutional restrictions, the Legislature has broad power over municipalities. It is to state law more than anywhere else that municipalities must look to learn what they can and cannot do.

All of the provisions of the federal and state constitutions and state statutes are subject to interpretation by the courts, and these judicial decisions may affect the powers of local governments.

Finally, Arizona cities and towns are limited by the ordinances that are adopted by the mayor and council. Within the limits set by state law, various powers, programs, activities and regulations can be adopted in the form of a municipal ordinance that is a legal document passed by the mayor and council, and signed by the mayor.

CITY/TOWN ORGANIZATION

Under Arizona law, a newly incorporated community with a population of between 1,500 and 3,000 is designated as a "town." A community with a population of more than 3,000 has the option of incorporating as a "city." If a community incorporates as a town and subsequently attains a population level over 3,000, it may change its status to a city, if approved by the voters.

State law substantially regulates the organization of towns. The only elected officials are the members of the town council. In towns incorporated prior to 1972 with less than 1,500 persons, five councilmembers are elected at-large for two-year terms. These towns have the option of increasing the membership of the council from five to seven members when their population exceeds 1,500. This optional increase in membership from five to seven was enacted by the Legislature in 1981. Prior to that, the increase was mandatory. If the town council passes an ordinance increasing its membership, the additional two members are elected at the next regular election after passage of the ordinance.

Communities incorporated after 1972 have at least 1,500 inhabitants at the time of incorporation, and the council consists of seven members. Legislation to allow the incorporation of small jurisdictions within the vicinity of a National Park was enacted in 2003 and is an exception to the 1,500 population requirement. The seven councilmembers are elected at-large for two-year terms. However, cities and towns may choose to directly elect their mayor or to adopt the four-year staggered term option for all members of the council, both of which are discussed later in this chapter.

In cities and towns without direct election of the mayor, the council selects one of its members to serve as mayor. The mayor may be elected for up to a two-year term or may serve at the pleasure of the council. Unless a council-manager ordinance provides otherwise, the council also must appoint a town clerk, town marshal and town engineer. The clerk is to be the town treasurer and the engineer is to have charge of the town's streets, sewers and utilities. In towns of 3,000 or less, the town marshal, in addition to his duties as marshal, may also serve as town engineer. Additional officers needed by the town, but not specifically provided for by statute, may be appointed by the council.

Municipalities with a population of 3,000 or more that choose to adopt the "city" form of government have a city council of seven members. The councilmembers are elected at-large for two-year terms unless the city chooses to adopt the ward or overlapping term option. As in the town form, unless otherwise provided by ordinance and approved by the voters, the mayor is selected by the council from among its own membership and serves either at the pleasure of the council or for up to a two-year term. Over time, the majority of cities and towns in Arizona have chosen to have their mayors directly elected by the voters.

The city council must also appoint a city clerk, ex officio treasurer, city attorney, city marshal or chief of police and city engineer, unless a council-manager ordinance provides a different procedure. Additional officers, such as fire chief or public works director, may be appointed at the discretion of the council. The same person may hold two or more appointive offices if the council so desires.

Under Arizona law, there is very little difference between the town and city form of government. Aside from the added "prestige" which some feel a city has over a town, the main difference is that cities have the option of choosing to adopt their own charters.

GENERAL LAW VS. CHARTER GOVERNMENT

Most incorporated communities in Arizona are general law cities or towns. The connotation "general law" means that the city or town exists and operates under the general laws of the state, the Arizona Revised Statutes. In practical terms this means that as a general law city or town, the mayor and council must look to the state for authority to pass local laws. Either specific or general enabling authority must be found in state statutes before the council can act.

Charter cities, on the other hand, operate not only under state law and the state constitution but also under a home rule charter adopted by the people in the community. Arizona has constitutional home rule rather than statutory home rule since the authority to adopt a charter and to be governed by a home rule charter is in the state constitution rather than simply in state law. Therefore local determination is incorporated into the state constitution and cannot be altered solely by the Legislature.

In explaining home rule charter government, a valid comparison can be made between a charter and the constitution. Cities adopting charters are actually adopting constitutions setting out the basic governing procedure for local government. This adoption process is similar to the famous founding fathers who met and created the constitutional basis of our national government. In adopting a city charter, a similar group of "founding fathers" creates a local government unique to their city.

There are three primary advantages of home rule charter government. First, and foremost, a city charter strengthens the ability of a community to deal with public concerns of a local nature under the terms and conditions the community prefers. Secondly, and closely related to the first point, a charter allows a city to adopt the kind of government the community desires and not one prescribed by another level of government. Thirdly, a charter provides cities with the power needed to meet the growing demands on local government.

CHARTER GOVERNMENT

The state constitution provides that cities with a population of more than 3,500 may frame and adopt their own charters. The purpose of charter or home rule government is to give cities a greater degree of self-determination. Thus, it applies the principle of self-government in its most ultimate sense at the local level.

There are currently 20 charter cities in Arizona. The city of Tombstone operates under a territorial charter, originally granted in 1881. The other 19 charter cities have adopted their charters through procedures established in the state constitution and state statutes.

***The Arizona Supreme Court has defined the charter as follows:
“The charter of a city is its organic law which bears the same relationship to ordinances as the state constitution bears to its statutes.”***

An Arizona Supreme Court opinion issued in 2012 (Tucson v. Arizona) discusses the concept of charter cities as envisioned by Arizona’s founders. Here are some excerpts from that opinion: “Nineteenth century case law and legal commentary generally viewed cities and towns as entirely subordinate to and dependent on the state’s legislature for any governmental authority... The framers of Arizona’s Constitution, however, rejected that view, valuing local autonomy...” “The purpose of the home rule charter provision of the Constitution was to render the cities adopting such charter provisions as nearly independent of state legislation as was possible.”

The Powers of Charter Cities. Charter cities are by no means completely free of state control. The charters may not be inconsistent with the constitution or state laws, and provisions of local charters regarding matters of statewide concern give way to state law when there is a conflict. It is up to the courts to decide whether such a conflict exists. Some of the specific powers that may be included in a charter adopted by a city are the right to:

1. Structure its governmental organization in any way that it desires;
2. Exercise additional authority over the investment of city funds;
3. Sell or dispose of city property without advertising;
4. Control its own municipal elections although the state consolidated dates for elections apply to charter cities;
5. Levy taxes not expressly authorized by state law; and
6. Raise and use funds for advertising purposes over and above the state law limitations.

The following procedure is established by the constitution and state statutes for framing and adopting a charter:

- 1. An election is held on the question, "Shall the city adopt a charter form of government?", and at the same time, a 14-member board of freeholders is elected. This election may be called either by the city council or by the mayor when petitioned to do so. The petition must be signed by a number of qualified voters equal to 25 percent of the number voting in the preceding municipal election.*
- 2. If a majority of the voters favor adopting a charter, the board of freeholders proceeds to write a charter. They must complete their work within 90 days after the election.*
- 3. When the charter is completed, it must be published in the local newspaper for at least 21 days, if the paper is a daily or in three consecutive issues if it is a weekly.*
- 4. Within 30 days, and not less than 20 days after publication, an election is held on the question of ratifying the charter. If a majority of the voters favor the charter, it is then submitted to the governor for approval.*

LOCAL OPTIONS FOR GENERAL LAW CITIES AND TOWNS

Direct Election of Mayor. State law allows cities and towns to provide for the direct election of the mayor, if such a provision is approved by the voters. First, the council passes an ordinance providing that upon approval of the voters the mayor will be directly elected. In this ordinance, the council specifies whether the mayor will serve a two-year or a four-year term. Then the question is presented to the voters at either a special or regular election. If approved by the voters, the ballot at the next election will list two municipal offices - mayor and councilmembers.

Overlapping Terms. An option that cities and towns may adopt by a majority vote of the qualified electors voting at an election is that of establishing overlapping terms for councilmembers. Under this option, members of the council serve four-year terms.

If the option is adopted, the council divides its members by lot into two classes. The first class, composed of three members, holds office until the next regular election. The second class, composed of four members, holds office until the second regular election. Thus, at the first regular election held after the adoption of this system, three councilmembers are elected, and at the second regular election four councilmembers are elected. Thereafter members of the council are elected in classes of three and four at successive, regular elections and hold office for terms of four years each and until their successors are elected and qualified. When a city or town adopts this option, the council determines by lot which of its current members shall be of the first and second classes. In the case of a five member town council, the first class is to consist of two members, the second class of three.

The major advantage of overlapping terms is that there will always be experienced members on the council, which provides for a greater continuity of government. Also, the provision for four-year terms gives councilmembers more time in office in which to gain experience and become familiar with the city's problems. On the other hand, a disadvantage of this form is that if the

voters are dissatisfied with the council, they cannot elect an entirely new council at a single election.

District Elections. The common system of selecting councilmembers in municipalities is through "at-large" elections, which means that each elector votes for every position on the council. Every councilmember, therefore, represents the city or town at-large rather than representing a specific geographic section of the city.

Both cities and towns have the option of electing councilmembers from districts upon approval of the proposition by a majority vote of the city or town's qualified electors voting at the election. If the district system is adopted, the council divides the city or town into not more than six districts. (In charter cities, the term "district" is used rather than "districts.") The councilmembers are then elected from districts, and the mayor is elected at-large. Under this system, each voter votes only for the mayor and for the councilmember from his or her district. It should be noted that adoption of the district system option automatically provides for direct election of the mayor rather than having the mayor chosen by the council, however, the mayor still serves as a member of the council.

The district system is more than simply another method for electing the council. Each councilmember represents a specific area of the community, is elected and may be up for reelection by the people in the district. For this reason, a councilmember may represent their interests and needs even though they may be in conflict with those of other districts. Advocates of the district system point out that this is a more democratic method of representation for the various social and economic groups within the community, ensuring that all citizens will be heard. Critics of the district system point out that councilmembers may be more interested in the well-being of their district than the city as a whole.


Council-Manager Government. Nearly all cities and towns in Arizona operate under the council-manager form of municipal government. Under this system, which is also the predominant form of local government nationwide, the council hires a manager who is academically and professionally trained and experienced in municipal administration. The manager is generally given the power to appoint and remove all employees, to draft the annual budget for submission to the council, to supervise and coordinate the day-to-day operations of the various departments and to present policy alternatives to the council.

The widespread use of the council-manager form in Arizona, as well as across the nation, has largely been a consequence of the growing complexity of municipal government. Professional administrative skills have become increasingly desirable to assist the council in managing the affairs of the community.

The size of municipal government has also been an important factor in the growth of the council/manager form of government. As the number of programs and activities of cities and towns grows, so does the need for full-time centralized management rather than part-time elected councilmembers. In order for the council to focus on its primary responsibility for policy making and community leadership, most cities and towns have turned to the council-manager form.

See Figure 2 for a typical organizational form of a council/manager city.

Figure 2
COUNCIL-MANAGER CITY/TOWN



COURTS

Prior to the passage of legislation during the 1994 session of the state Legislature, every general law city and town was required to have a municipal court. As a result of the passage of this legislation, a city or town, in lieu of establishing or maintaining its own municipal court, may enter into an intergovernmental agreement with either a justice of the peace in whose jurisdiction the city or town is located and the county in which the city or town is located, or with another city or town within the same county to provide the services of the municipal court, including jurisdiction of all cases arising under the ordinances of the city or town. This is an option that city and town councils may wish to pursue at some point in the future.

The city and town magistrate is generally appointed by the council. The magistrate must be appointed for a term of not less than two years during which the magistrate can only be removed for cause. The State Supreme Court, in addition to the city or town council, has jurisdiction over municipal courts. This authority is specifically spelled out in the state constitution. The degree of administrative authority by the city or town over the courts is a matter of some debate. Administrative orders issued by the Supreme Court have attempted to clarify the relationship of the court to the city or town in areas such as personnel.

MUNICIPAL ANNEXATION OF PROPERTY

Annexation refers to the process by which a city or town expands the area within its corporate limits. An area eligible for annexation must be contiguous to the city or town; that is, a portion of its boundaries must touch the boundaries of the city or town. State law also requires that territory to be annexed meet certain size and shape specifications unless the territory is already surrounded or bordered on at least three sides by the city or town. In general, these specifications prohibit strip annexations.

Procedures for the annexation of new areas into the municipality are also set forth and regulated by state law. Thirty days prior to actually beginning the process of gathering signatures, blank petitions (including a map of the area proposed to be annexed) must be filed with the county recorder. During this 30-day waiting period, the city or town must hold a public hearing. At least 15 days before the end of the waiting period and at least six days prior to the public hearing on the proposed annexation, notice of the hearing must be published in the newspaper. In addition, at least six days prior to the public hearing, notice of the hearing must be posted in at least three public places in the area proposed to be annexed. Notices must also be sent by mail to each property owner in the area and to the chairman of the affected county board of supervisors.

Within one year of the end of the 30-day waiting period, petitions with the signatures of the owners of more than one-half of the value of the property within the area plus more than one-half of the property owners in the area proposed to be annexed must be filed with the county recorder. The county recorder cannot accept a filing for annexation without also receiving a sworn affidavit verifying that no part of the territory is already subject to an earlier filing for annexation. After filing the signed petitions, the council then adopts an ordinance annexing the property. The annexation may be challenged in court within 30 days after adoption of the ordinance. You may wish to refer to the League's Annexation Manual for additional details.

FINANCING LOCAL GOVERNMENT

As a mayor or councilmember, one of your chief concerns and possibly one of your major headaches will involve the financial affairs of your city or town. There is no way to avoid the fact that it takes money to provide the services and programs needed and demanded by the local citizenry. Consequently, it is best to start with the local revenue picture which, of course, may differ considerably from one community to the next.

Each method of financing municipal government has its own advantages, disadvantages and limitations. As a mayor or councilmember, you must find the combination of revenue sources that seems "right" for your community. The following is a listing of some of the characteristics of each revenue form.

Locally Imposed Taxes. In Arizona there are three direct taxes that can be levied by cities and towns to cover municipal expenses:

1. The transaction privilege tax, often called the sales tax, provides for the collection of a tax as a percentage of gross revenues of a business in return for a permit to transact such business within the city or town.

Arizona's two direct taxes which can be levied by cities and towns to cover municipal expenses:

▶ ***transaction privilege tax***

▶ ***property tax***

2. The property tax provides for the collection of a tax based on the assessed valuation of property within the city or town. In other states, it is the primary source of municipal funding; however, in Arizona, dependence upon the property tax has decreased steadily as a percent of total local revenues, and many cities and towns do not even levy this tax.

Finally, there are other local sources of revenue used by cities and towns. These include utility or user fees, fines and forfeitures, permit and franchise fees, development fees and various service charges, such as those from the issuance of building permits.

State Collected, Locally Shared Taxes. Other sources of funding available to cities and towns are state shared taxes. These include the following:

State Collected, Locally Shared Taxes:

- ▶ **State Sales Tax**
- ▶ **State Income Tax**
- ▶ **Highway User Revenues**
- ▶ **Vehicle License Tax**

1. **State Sales Tax:** Cities and towns receive a share of the state's sales tax, which is distributed on the basis of population.
2. **State Income Tax:** A portion of the state income tax is distributed to cities and towns on a population basis.
3. **Highway User Revenues:** A portion of highway user revenues (taxes on gasoline, diesel fuel and other motor vehicle related fees) are returned to cities and towns based on a two-part formula. One half of the amount is allocated on the basis of a city or town's population in relation to the total population of all incorporated cities and towns. The other half is distributed on the basis of the county of origin of sales of motor vehicle fuel and then on the basis of each city or town's population in relation to all other incorporated cities and towns in the county. The expenditure of all highway user revenue funds is limited to street and related projects.
4. **Vehicle License Tax:** A portion of the automobile license tax is distributed to the cities and towns on a population basis within the county in which it is collected. Prior to this distribution, a certain amount of this tax is placed in the highway user revenue fund.

Federal Funds. The amount of federal assistance available to cities and towns is limited. The two remaining federal revenue sources are categorical and block grants. Categorical grants are usually awarded for specific projects and operate under strict guidelines as to the use of the funds. Block grants are a more flexible funding source and are subject to fewer spending restrictions than are categorical grants. Under this category, the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program has been the principal source of federal financial assistance for most Arizona cities and towns.

THE BUDGET

The spending of revenues received by your city or town brings us to the subject of your annual municipal budget. Although there is a tendency to think of budgeting only in terms of the need to prepare a complicated document in sufficient time to comply with the deadlines established by state law, it is important for the members of the council to realize that this document is one of its most important tools to ensure a workable, well organized city or town. Under state law, when the council adopts the budget it is setting maximum limits on the amount of money that can be spent as set forth in the published budget.

In adopting a budget, the council, in effect, promises that the city or town will do a certain amount of work with a given amount of money. It is a type of contract or agreement with the taxpayers as to what services they will get for their tax money during the coming year. Additionally, the budget should be considered as a work program, since it sets forth the amount of money available for municipal expenditures by purpose.

In municipal budgets, there are two major limitations that must be met. First, state law imposes an expenditure limitation on cities and towns. The actual amount that "controlled" funds are allowed to increase from year to year is determined by the increase in population of the individual community and a national inflation factor. It should be noted that the population and inflation factors are used in conjunction with a community's 1979-80 actual expenditures minus exclusions, known as the base limit, to determine each year's expenditure limit. This limit affects all funds not explicitly exempt under the state constitution. These exemptions include such items as federal grant funds, bond principal and interest payments and the amount of highway user revenues received in excess of the amount received in 1979-80.

Additionally, the state constitution allows a city or town council the opportunity to seek voter approval of an alternative to or modification of the state-imposed expenditure limit. Options available to the council include a local alternative expenditure limit (home rule option), permanent base adjustment, one-time override or a voter-approved capital projects accumulation fund. The vast majority of Arizona's cities and towns operate under a home rule option alternative or a permanent base adjustment to the state-imposed expenditure limitation. The form of a voter-approved home rule option varies depending upon each community's local needs. This option gives each community more control over expenditure of local revenues.

Regardless of the limitation a community functions under, each city and town must comply with the uniform reporting system detailed in state law. This law requires that each community file a report within four months of the end of the fiscal year showing compliance with either the state or the local expenditure limit. The chief fiscal officer as designated by the council is responsible for filing this report.

The second limitation on the budget concerns the amount of money to be raised by direct property taxation to support general municipal expenditures proposed in the budget. The state constitution limits the increase in the primary property tax to not more than 2 percent of the amount levied in the preceding fiscal year plus an amount attributable to annexations or new construction. The primary property tax revenues may be expended for all municipal purposes. An unlimited secondary property tax may be levied to pay the principal and interest on bonded

indebtedness to pay for capital projects. Before a new property tax can be imposed by a city or town, the voters must approve the tax.

It should be mentioned that the League publication, "Municipal Budget and Finance Manual," provides detailed information concerning the state-imposed expenditure limitation, home rule option, permanent base adjustment, capital project accumulation fund, one-time override, uniform reporting system and the property tax system. It also serves as a practical guide to budget preparation.

There are several additional requirements in state law that must be followed by the council in this important function of budget preparation and adoption. For example, state law requires that, before the third Monday in July of each year, the council must prepare a full and complete statement of the financial affairs of the city or town for the preceding fiscal year and an estimate of the different amounts that will be required to meet the needs of the city or town for the current fiscal year. These estimated expenditures and revenues must be aired before a public hearing, and the budget estimates and the public hearing notice must be published on the city website and in the newspaper to alert local residents of the city or town's financial plans for the coming year. Following the public hearing, the council will adopt the final budget. For those cities and towns with a property tax, state law requires at least seven days between adoption of the final budget and adoption of the tax levy. However, the property tax must be adopted by the third Monday in August. Therefore, the deadline for adopting the final budget becomes the second Monday in August. For those without a property tax, it is still recommended that final budget adoption take place by mid-August. Once the budget is adopted, it may not be increased. Transfers between budget items may be accomplished under procedures specified in state law.

MUNICIPAL INDEBTEDNESS

Cities and towns often find it necessary to incur relatively large debts especially when financing major capital improvements. Because of this, the state has authorized municipalities to borrow through the issuance of certain types of bonds. It should be emphasized, however, that municipalities may not become indebted for any purpose without submitting the question for approval by the voters. The major types of bonds that local governments may issue are general obligation bonds, revenue bonds, street and highway improvement bonds and special improvement bonds. These are discussed briefly below.

The major types of bonds that local governments may issue are:

- ▶ **General Obligation Bonds**
 - ▶ **Revenue Bonds**
 - ▶ **Street and Highway Improvement Bonds**
 - ▶ **Special Improvement Bonds**

General Obligation Bonds. The most common means of raising funds for major municipal projects is the issuance of general obligation bonds. These bonds are ordinarily retired from property tax funds. The bonds are referred to as general obligation or full faith and credit bonds because they are guaranteed by the full taxing power of the governmental unit issuing them. Cities and towns are subject to certain constitutional and statutory limits on the issuance of general obligation bonds including:

1. Indebtedness for general municipal purposes may not be incurred for more than 6 percent of the assessed valuation of the taxable property in the city or town.
2. In addition to the 6 percent limitation for general purposes, cities and towns may issue general obligation bonds up to an additional 20 percent of assessed valuation for purposes relating to publicly owned utilities and open space. In other words, the total general obligation indebtedness may go as high as 26 percent of assessed valuation.
3. A majority of the qualified electors of the city or town voting at the bond election must approve the issuance of the bonds.

Thirty days before a general obligation bond election, the city or town must prepare and disseminate an informational packet to the electors. Information must include the source of payment for the bonds, issuance cost and polling locations among seven other required items.

Revenue Bonds. Revenue bonds may be used to finance a revenue-producing facility such as a public utility or airport. These bonds are usually secured solely by the revenues of the facility for which they were issued, and if these revenues are not sufficient to cover the repayment of the bonds, the city or town is not obligated to provide tax funds for repayment. Because these bonds are not secured by a tax source, they represent a somewhat greater risk for the investor than general obligation bonds, and therefore, sometimes must bear a higher interest rate than do general obligation securities.

Arizona cities and towns are authorized by state law to issue revenue bonds for a wide variety of purposes. While revenue bonds are not subject to the limitations discussed above, there are certain statutory requirements that must be met. For example, revenue bonds must also be approved by a majority of the qualified electors voting at an election. Additionally, revenue bonds must mature within 30 years of the date issued and may not be sold at less than par value.

Street and Highway Improvement Bonds. Cities and towns may issue bonds for constructing and maintaining streets and highways with the funds for retiring these bonds coming from the municipality's share of highway user revenues. Again, these bonds must be approved by a majority of the qualified electors of the city or town voting at a bond election. Municipalities with the consent of the voters may secure such bonds by a pledge of their full taxing power if they so desire, but this is not required.

Special Improvement District Bonds. Special improvement district bonds are those issued to finance improvements on projects such as streets and sewers. The bonds are secured by assessments levied against the property that is benefitted by the improvement. For example, if bonds are issued to finance street improvements, the property bordering the street will ordinarily be assessed to cover the cost of retiring the bonds.

Community Facilities Districts. State law allows the formation of community facilities districts inside cities and towns with the approval of the council. Such districts can be formed for any public infrastructure purpose or certain enhanced municipal services. Both general obligation and revenue bonds can be issued by such a district.

AUDITS

Cities and towns are required by state law to have an audit performed by an independent public accountant once every two years for towns and annually for cities. This examination of the financial accounts of the city or town is designed primarily to ensure that the accounts are being kept properly, although certainly a secondary purpose is to protect local taxpayers from misuse of municipal funds. The audit cannot be performed by city or town employees and must begin as soon as possible after the close of the fiscal year and be completed within six months. The audit report must include all the accounts and funds of the municipality including operating, special, utility, debt, trust, pension and other money or property for which a municipality is responsible. At least three signed copies of the audit report must be submitted to the municipality with one copy made available as a public record and open for public inspection. A copy of the audit must be sent to the Arizona Department of Library, Archives and Public Records. Audit expenses must be paid for by the municipality.

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES

The public employee is perhaps the most important element in providing local public services in the city or town. A municipality that recruits and is able to retain good employees has taken a big step toward effective local government. On the other hand, a municipality that takes its employees for granted is asking for a lot of trouble.

Court cases have played an increasingly important role in defining the rights of employees, especially in the area of termination and compensation. In early 1985, a Supreme Court decision extended the coverage of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) to all state and local governments. The FLSA contains minimum wage, overtime pay and other requirements that apply to almost all local government employees.

In addition, court cases have brought the issue of sexual harassment in the workplace to the forefront. You must keep in mind that any statements or action that constitute sexual harassment could subject you, as well as the city or town, to a lawsuit.

You may be asking yourself what responsibility does the elected official have in employee-employer relationships especially under the council-manager form of government. As a general rule, the citizens who elected you are expecting fairness with employees and protection from economic reprisals such as damage awards in court cases for wrongful action. Denying due process for employees is a fertile field for court cases. As an elected official, the **authority** for handling employee-employer problems is delegated to the manager - not the responsibility. You are ultimately responsible to the citizens for the manager's actions.

There are a number of ideas and techniques that can be utilized by a city or town to develop an active program for its public employees. Perhaps one of the first and simplest steps that should be taken is the adoption of a uniform personnel system to be used in hiring, removing or promoting employees; in setting salaries and fringe benefits for employees; in solving employee problems and encouraging better work performance. While there are a number of benefits for a city or town from a formalized personnel system, one of the most evident is the uniform

treatment of all city or town employees within the organization. This uniformity in many cases eliminates the idea that city or town management may be treating employees in one department different than employees in another department. It is this type of idea that leads to employee dissatisfaction and lowers quality in municipal services.

There are numerous incentives that can be built into a local personnel system to head off potential problems. There is one old saying that is extremely applicable to issues in the public employment sector, and that is: "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure." In other words, you should act instead of react, because if you're reacting, it may be too late.

PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE

Everybody has to plan for the future, and cities and towns are no exception. In fact, planning for the future is considered by many as one of the most critical functions of local government in Arizona. Such municipal planning and development includes the methods used by cities and towns to control land use in the community and to ensure that adequate physical development in such areas as the water and sewer system, the transportation system and the solid waste disposal system keeps pace with the growth of the community.

Growing Smarter. Legislation was adopted in 1998, 1999 and 2000 to reform local planning and zoning laws for municipal, county and state land. The intent of the act is to strengthen the ability of Arizona's communities to plan for growth, acquire and preserve open space and develop strategies to comprehensively address growth related pressures. These reforms included adding new elements to the comprehensive or general plan as well as new requirements to existing elements of the general plan. In addition, Growing Smarter legislation promotes greater citizen participation in the development and adoption process of a general plan. Finally, the municipality must readopt a general plan every 10 years.

Statement of Community Goals. This is a listing of those areas of urban growth that are of public concern such as desired standards for parks and open space; objectives of the transportation system including streets, freeways, pedestrian and bike paths or mass transit; desired relationships between business districts, residential areas and other land uses; standards for location and type of public facilities; or the definition of a subdivider's responsibility for public improvements such as streets, sewers and utilities.

General Plan. The goals statement is normally incorporated into a comprehensive plan for community development. This becomes the community's growth policy to guide the development of specified geographic regions of the city or town and its environs.

Zoning Ordinance. The zoning ordinance specifies geographic zones within the community and regulates the type of development that can go on in each area such as residential, industrial or commercial.

Subdivision Ordinance. This ordinance regulates the improvement of subdivided land for sale within the community. It prevents or limits construction in areas that would be dangerous to the public health and well-being, such as areas with steep slopes, areas prone to periodic flooding or

areas with insufficient water supplies for the projected population density. The subdivision ordinance may require that the developer provide the necessary improvements and services including streets, water and other utilities, sewers and solid waste disposal systems, open space and other services required for acceptable standards of living.

Building Codes. These are generally standardized regulations adopted by ordinance to provide comprehensive construction standards covering such areas as mechanical, electrical and plumbing specifications.

Utility Extension Policy. A city or town may adopt a utility extension policy to serve as a guide to how new water and sewer facilities are to be financed, particularly in the case of new subdivisions. It may provide that the subdivider bears the cost of such extensions or it may provide for some municipal involvement or repayment agreements.

Capital Improvements Program. This is a document that sets forth priorities for the construction of capital improvements over a five- or six-year period. It is basically a technique for setting priorities on projects and then determining anticipated revenues that will be available for such projects over a five-year or longer period of time.

Development Agreements. State law authorizes a city or town to enter into a development agreement for property located either inside or outside the corporate limits. If located outside the city or town, the development agreement does not become operative until after annexation. Such an agreement may include provisions on permitted uses of property subject to the agreement, dedication of land for public purposes, public infrastructure and its financing, etc.

CHAPTER V

YOU AND THE OUTSIDE WORLD

INTRODUCTION

One of the first things you will learn as a local official is that your municipality does not exist in a vacuum. Even more subtly you will soon find that you are directly concerned with county zoning and building regulations in that area immediately outside your community that the council has been thinking of annexing. To a large extent the activities of your local government are directly affected by the outside world. In addition, people in your community will be expecting you to have the answers to many problems over which you have no control as citizens do not always realize which level of government is appropriate to address their particular concerns.

You will be approached with many problems that you cannot solve directly, but that you can refer to the appropriate jurisdiction. However, you will also be approached with a number of problems that simply cannot be solved by the present government or private organizations operating within your community. These unmet needs of the citizens of your community must either go unsolved or must be directed to some agency or person willing to take up responsibility for initiating new programs. Such situations require your leadership within the community and are the basis for the unique role of cities and towns within government.

Working cooperatively with other cities and towns as well as other levels of government is necessary in today's society. The actions of one community will often affect another entity. In other words, always have a good neighbor policy for adjoining jurisdictions. To develop and implement intergovernmental goals, it is necessary to become active as well as reactive in your relationships with other governmental levels.


The remainder of this chapter will discuss generally the governmental levels and associations of governments having significant impact on city and town governments. Briefly, these are regional councils of governments, the county, the state and the federal government.

REGIONAL COUNCILS OF GOVERNMENTS

In Arizona we have six organizations called regional councils of governments, or more commonly, COGs. Perhaps the best springboard into intergovernmental relations is through the regional council of governments in your area since it is essentially composed of your neighboring cities, towns, tribes and counties who are concerned with, on a regional level, the same local problems facing your community. To understand how your municipality relates with the regional councils of governments, it is necessary to understand how they came about and what they are designed to do.

Historically, much of the emphasis for development of COGs stemmed from federal grant-in-aid programs and related requirements that stressed the need for local or area-wide planning of both a functional and comprehensive nature.

To achieve a degree of uniformity in the planning and programming of various activities, the governor established six planning regions by executive order in 1970. The following planning organizations were formed within these designated planning-regions:



REGION I - Maricopa County
(MAG)

REGION II - Pima County
(PAG)

REGION III - Apache, Coconino, Navajo and Yavapai
Counties (NACOG)

REGION IV - La Paz, Mohave and Yuma Counties (WACOG)

REGION V - Gila and Pinal Counties (CAAG)

REGION VI - Cochise, Graham, Greenlee and Santa Cruz
Counties (SEAGO)

WHAT DOES A COG DO?

A regional council of governments (COG) is a voluntary association of general purpose governmental agencies (primarily cities, towns and counties) within a given geographic area. Elected representatives from each governmental unit composing the COG sit on a governing board (regional council) to develop policy impacting on regional comprehensive and functional planning and to serve as a forum for the discussion of area-wide problems. In some regions of the state, COGs have also become actively involved in the operation of manpower and human resource development programs. Although there are numerous roles available to a COG, they are voluntary agencies made up of local officials representing local agencies whose responsibility is to plan with all local agencies for the uniform growth and development of a given planning region. In Maricopa County, for example, MAG takes the lead on regional

transportation planning and air quality compliance. Additionally, an equally important aspect of regional councils of governments is the review of federal aid applications in which the COG serves as a regional clearinghouse allowing member jurisdictions to review all plans and federal aid applications impacting on their region.

A COG operates through the use of committees made up of officers and staff members of its member governments. Two essential committees in the COG structure are the management committee and the governing board (regional council). These committees allow managers and elected officials a valuable opportunity to share information and address common problems. The administrative activities of the COG are handled by a staff. Some major goals for COG consideration in Arizona have included the development of plans and programs that will eventually provide for adequate transportation systems, human resource development programs and general environmental planning.



As a newly-elected official, an initial grasp of the complexity and nature of intergovernmental relations can be obtained through discussions with councilmembers and staff members involved in your regional council of governments. It is important to remember that COGs are voluntary associations created by the member agencies, and your leadership and concern are critical in guiding their direction.

COUNTY GOVERNMENT

One of the most vital working relationships you can develop is with the government of the county in which your city or town is located. While much of the emphasis of county government operations has been placed on the delivery of services to unincorporated areas, there are significant county functions that impact on the residents of your community. Briefly, some of these are: county hospitals and health clinics; the county assessor's office; the county attorney; the superior court; justice of the peace courts and the county recorder. Additionally, it is important to remember that the county government operates right up to your city or town limits, and the way that properties adjacent to your community are developed must necessarily be a major concern to the council. Finally, there are a number of areas such as library facilities, sanitary landfills, parks and recreational facilities where cooperative efforts through intergovernmental agreements between your city or town and the county can result in substantial savings to the taxpayer.

Perhaps the best approach to developing good county-city or town relations is to remember that, in essence, the same people that elected you, elected the county officials. Additionally, residents of your city or town are required to pay county taxes, particularly the property tax that goes into the county's general fund.

When working with county governments, it is important to note the distinction between a county and a city or town. A county is an administrative arm of the state and, therefore, has only those powers given to them by the state. As a result, county government may not always be authorized to provide the services or exercise the authority that cities and towns currently enjoy.

A final note to remember in working with county governments is that you are both in the same business. The business, of course, is to deliver services to those citizens you represent. In the long run, a working policy which realizes the importance of delivering services more effectively and efficiently to the citizens will best serve both the city and the county.

STATE GOVERNMENT

In Arizona, cities and towns are voluntary units of local government and political subdivisions of the state. In this connection, the Legislature has the power to delegate to municipalities whatever it feels can be most effectively accomplished at the local level. Conversely, it has the power to take from the local level anything that it feels is not being efficiently accomplished.

As you learn more about your job as a mayor or councilmember, you will find that a few of the municipal problems you face are the result of state mandates that have placed financial constraints on your community. While it is certainly within the rights of the Legislature to determine what type of services should be delivered by local government, there appears to have been some reluctance to appropriate funds to local governments to implement such mandated legislation. However, the situation is not as bleak as it may initially appear. Most legislators are aware of the problems of local government and are trying to work with city, town and county officials in solving problems through legislation which is acceptable to all. In this connection, state legislators need to be informed as to specific municipal concerns if they are to make valid legislative decisions. To some extent, your job should include providing information to your local legislators on the problems and positions of your municipality. Most legislators appreciate hearing from city and town officials in their district and use the input of these officials in making their decisions. Because of the large number of bills introduced during the session, a weekly legislative bulletin is published by the League of Arizona Cities and Towns to advise local officials of the bills that are introduced as well as actions that affect cities and towns on these bills of municipal interest. By using this bulletin, calling in to legislative update conference calls and frequently visiting the legislative pages on the League website, city and town officials can learn which bills affect them and provide comment to their legislators.

In addition to developing sound relationships with the legislative branch of the state government, there are also methods of dealing with the executive branch or the state agencies involved in the administration of state law. It is necessary to work with state agencies to ensure that the regulations they develop can accomplish their goals without seriously hampering the effective operation of your city.

Finally, although you will find that you and your staff deal directly with state agency personnel frequently on specific issues, your regional council of governments and the League will prove to be valuable tools in maintaining constant liaison with the state government and its agencies.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

No discussion of intergovernmental relations would be complete without a word about federal governmental agencies and the Congress.

Although the federal-local relationship used to pivot on financial assistance, this is no longer the case. Direct local assistance is minimal; the community development block grant program is the only program providing funds to more than a handful of local governments.

Mandates from the federal government, however, have seemed to increase at the same time the financial aid has decreased. Mandates on the environment and in employment are particularly prevalent. However, the federal Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 now requires that Congress either pay for federal mandates or take a roll call vote in favor of passing on the costs to State and local governments.

CONCLUSION

The need for effective intergovernmental relations will continue to increase. To do the best for your community, it is necessary to approach intergovernmental relations in an organized manner. The "outside world" can make a positive impact on your community. However, it is the job of city and town government, where appropriate, to monitor this impact.

OTHER LEAGUE PUBLICATIONS

This handbook is designed as a general overview of local government in Arizona. The League publishes more detailed information in booklet form on several subjects of concern. The following is a list of those publications of particular interest to city and town officials, all of which are available to city and town officials at no charge. Most of them are available for downloading from the league website: www.azleague.org.

A GUIDE FOR ANNEXATION

ANATOMY OF A COUNCIL MEETING

COUNCIL-MANAGER GOVERNMENT IN ARIZONA

CHARTER GOVERNMENT PROVISIONS IN ARIZONA CITIES

GUIDE TO PREPARING AND ADOPTING LOCAL LAWS

LOCAL GOVERNMENT DIRECTORY

MUNICIPAL BUDGET AND FINANCE MANUAL

MUNICIPAL ELECTION MANUAL

MUNICIPAL INCORPORATION IN ARIZONA

MUNICIPAL POLICY STATEMENT

YOU AS A PUBLIC OFFICIAL